CHECKLIST ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

Project Name:

Livingston Hospital Feeder-Yellowstone River Crossing

Proposed

Implementation Date:

July 2017

Proponent:

NorthWestern Energy

Location:

Township 2S Range 10E Section 7

County:

Park

Trust:

Navigable Waterways

I. TYPE AND PURPOSE OF ACTION

The proposed utility easement application is for crossing the Yellowstone River in order to connect existing NorthWestern Energy facilities, and to connect two different circuits together on the west and east sides of the Yellowstone river. The existing railroad and highway bridges provide a narrow, protected river crossing that allows NorthWestern Energy to span the river at an acceptable design distance.

II. PROJECT DEVELOPMENT

PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT, AGENCIES, GROUPS OR INDIVIDUALS CONTACTED:

Provide a brief chronology of the scoping and ongoing involvement for this project.

None.

2. OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES WITH JURISDICTION, LIST OF PERMITS NEEDED:

The project is within the MRL railroad and MDT Right of Ways. An application has been submitted to MDEQ for the pole installation within the plume, and a Section 10 permit has been obtained from the US Army Corps of Engineers.

3. ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:

Action: Process the application for Utility Right of Way Easement.

No Action: Do not process the application for Utility Right of Way Easement.

III. IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT

- RESOURCES potentially impacted are listed on the form, followed by common issues that would be considered.
- Explain POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATIONS following each resource heading.
- Enter "NONE" If no impacts are identified or the resource is not present.

4. GEOLOGY AND SOIL QUALITY, STABILITY AND MOISTURE:

Consider the presence of fragile, compactable or unstable soils. Identify unusual geologic features. Specify any special reclamation considerations. Identify any cumulative impacts to soils.

Action alternative – the utility line will run along a bridge spanning the river. No surface disturbance will occur; therefore, no adverse effects would be expected.

No actions alternative – no activity would take place, no change to current status.

5. WATER QUALITY, QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION:

Identify important surface or groundwater resources. Consider the potential for violation of ambient water quality standards, drinking water maximum contaminant levels, or degradation of water quality. Identify cumulative effects to water resources.

Action alternative – the utility line will run along a bridge spanning the river. With no surface disturbance, no adverse effects would be expected to surface our groundwater resources.

No actions alternative – no activity would take place, no change to current status.

6. AIR QUALITY:

What pollutants or particulate would be produced? Identify air quality regulations or zones (e.g. Class I air shed) the project would influence. Identify cumulative effects to air quality.

No adverse effects would be expected with wither alternative.

7. VEGETATION COVER, QUANTITY AND QUALITY:

What changes would the action cause to vegetative communities? Consider rare plants or cover types that would be affected. Identify cumulative effects to vegetation.

Action alternative – the utility line will run along a bridge spanning the river. With no surface disturbance, no adverse effects would be expected to vegetation.

No actions alternative – no activity would take place, no change to current status.

8. TERRESTRIAL, AVIAN AND AQUATIC LIFE AND HABITATS:

Consider substantial habitat values and use of the area by wildlife, birds or fish. Identify cumulative effects to fish and wildlife.

Action alternative – the utility line will run along a bridge spanning the river. With no surface disturbance, no adverse effects would be expected to fish and wildlife.

No actions alternative - no activity would take place, no change to current status.

9. UNIQUE, ENDANGERED, FRAGILE OR LIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES:

Consider any federally listed threatened or endangered species or habitat identified in the project area. Determine effects to wetlands. Consider Sensitive Species or Species of special concern. Identify cumulative effects to these species and their habitat.

The Wolverine, Grizzly Bear, Sprague's Pipit, Sagebrush Sparrow, and Yellowstone Cutthroat Trout have been listed as species of concern by the Montana Natural Heritage Program. No adverse effect would be expected with either alternative.

10. HISTORICAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES:

Identify and determine effects to historical, archaeological or paleontological resources.

Two registered historical/archaeological sites have been identified on T2S R10E Sect. 7. The easement application does not affect these areas.

11. AESTHETICS:

Determine if the project is located on a prominent topographic feature, or may be visible from populated or scenic areas. What level of noise, light or visual change would be produced? Identify cumulative effects to aesthetics.

Neither alternative will yield aesthetic changes.

12. DEMANDS ON ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES OF LAND, WATER, AIR OR ENERGY:

Determine the amount of limited resources the project would require. Identify other activities nearby that the project would affect. Identify cumulative effects to environmental resources.

None.

13. OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTS PERTINENT TO THE AREA:

List other studies, plans or projects on this tract. Determine cumulative impacts likely to occur as a result of current private, state or federal actions in the analysis area, and from future proposed state actions in the analysis area that are under MEPA review (scoped) or permitting review by any state agency.

A Section 10 permit has been obtained from the US Army Corps of Engineers.

IV. IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN POPULATION

- RESOURCES potentially impacted are listed on the form, followed by common issues that would be considered.
- Explain POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATIONS following each resource heading.
- Enter "NONE" If no impacts are identified or the resource is not present.

14. HUMAN HEALTH AND SAFETY:

Identify any health and safety risks posed by the project.

Action Alternative – Improved/increased electric reliability to the east end of Livingston and the Livingston Hospital.

No Action Alternative - no activity would take place, no change to current status

15. INDUSTRIAL, COMMERCIAL AND AGRICULTURE ACTIVITIES AND PRODUCTION:

Identify how the project would add to or alter these activities.

Action Alternative – Improved/increased electric reliability to the east end of Livingston and the Livingston Hospital.

No Action Alternative - no activity would take place, no change to current status

16. QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF EMPLOYMENT:

Estimate the number of jobs the project would create, move or eliminate. Identify cumulative effects to the employment market.

No effect with either alternative.

17. LOCAL AND STATE TAX BASE AND TAX REVENUES:

Estimate tax revenue the project would create or eliminate. Identify cumulative effects to taxes and revenue.

No effect with either alternative.

18. DEMAND FOR GOVERNMENT SERVICES:

Estimate increases in traffic and changes to traffic patterns. What changes would be needed to fire protection, police, schools, etc.? Identify cumulative effects of this and other projects on government services

No effect with either alternative.

19. LOCALLY ADOPTED ENVIRONMENTAL PLANS AND GOALS:

List State, County, City, USFS, BLM, Tribal, and other zoning or management plans, and identify how they would affect this project.

None.

20. ACCESS TO AND QUALITY OF RECREATIONAL AND WILDERNESS ACTIVITIES:

Identify any wilderness or recreational areas nearby or access routes through this tract. Determine the effects of the project on recreational potential within the tract. Identify cumulative effects to recreational and wilderness activities.

No effect with either alternative.

21.	 DENSITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF POPULATION AND HOUSING: Estimate population changes and additional housing the project would require. Identify cumulative effects to population and housing. 		
No	No effect with either alternative.		
22.		URES AND MORES: uption of native or traditional lifestyles or communities.	
No	effect with either a	ernative.	
23.		UENESS AND DIVERSITY: n affect any unique quality of the area?	
No	No effect with either alternative.		
24. OTHER APPROPRIATE SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC CIRCUMSTANCES: Estimate the return to the trust. Include appropriate economic analysis. Identify potential future uses for the analysis area other than existing management. Identify cumulative economic and social effects likely to occur as a result of the proposed action. The easement, if granted would compensate the Trust for the encumbered rights.			
ine	e easement, ir gran	ed would compensate the Trust for the encumbered rights.	
	EA Checklist Prepared By:	Name: Katie Svoboda Date: 9/12/2017 Title: Bozeman Unit Office Manager	
		Bozoman ome omoc Manager	
		V. FINDING	
	ALTERNATIVE Sition: Process the a	ELECTED: oplication for Utility Right of Way Easement.	
find tha not sign	vironmental impacts I that no impacts ar t the quantity and q be adversely affec nificant impacts, an nmary, I find that th	E OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS: I have determined that none of the anticipated outlined in the EA are significant according to the criteria outlined in <i>ARM 36.2.524</i> . It is regarded as severe, enduring, geographically widespread, or frequent. Further, I find utility of various resources, including any that may be considered unique or fragile, will ed to a significant degree. I find no precedent for future actions that would cause it I find no conflict with local, State, or Federal laws, requirements, or formal plans. In the identified adverse impacts will be avoided, controlled, or mitigated by the design of the latt the impacts are not significant.	
27	NEED FOR FURT	JED ENVIDONMENTAL ANALYSIS.	
41.		HER ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS:	
	EIS	More Detailed EA X No Further Analysis	
	EA Checklist Approved By:	Name: Craig Campbell Title: Bozeman Unit Manager	
	Signature:	Date: 1/2 (1/4)	
	In	- my left	